UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW KINA,	
	CASE NO. 3:08-cv-04358-PJH
Plaintiff(s),	
v .	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
ADJUMED AND DIED DIG 14	
UNITED AIRLINES, INC. and the BETTY FORD CENTER,	
~ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Defendant(s).	
Counse report that they have met and conferred following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and A	
Court Processes:	
Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4	1
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR	
Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	-
,,,	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement con appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any of ADR phone conference and may not file this form. The ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process of the ADR)	ther form of ADR, must participate in an ry must instead file a Notice of Need for d ADR I. R. 3-5).
Titudo Laste (presase menty) process t	and provided y and a second se
The parties have stipulated to private me	ediation.
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: √ the presumptive deadline (The deadline referring the case to an ADR process when the case to be a case to an ADR process when the case to be a case to an ADR process when the case to be a case	
other requested deadline	
Dated: 12-23-08	(Vacasa Cent
	Attorney for Plaintiff Matthew King
Dated: 12/22/05	Attorney for Defendant
	United Air Lines, Inc.
Dated:	
	Attorney for Defendant
	Betty Ford Center

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW K	INA,	0 - 00 NO 00 00 NWY
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 3:08-cv-04358-PJH	
٧.	1 *************************************	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
UNITED AIRI BETTY FORD	INES, INC. and the CENTER,	
	Defendant(s).	<i>!</i>
	report that they have met an lation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
Court	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Al Early Neutral Evaluation (E Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably mo	ore likely to meet their needs t	tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for tle 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5).
Privat √	e Process: Private ADR (please identif	y process and provider)
The p	arties have stipulated to p	private mediation.
The pa √		ession by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline	
Dated:	·	Attorney for Plaintiff Matthew King
Dated: 12/2	z/0 3	Attorney for Defendant
Dated: 12/	22/08	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

(Proposed) order

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation

X Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order.

Other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:__ 1/5/09 _____

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton

Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton

Firmwide: 87864253.1 052664.1076